

# 1<sup>st</sup> ADAPT workshop

Advanced Agile Production





This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 871252

www.metricsproject.eu

# Agenda

1:00 PM – Introduction and schedule; Roel Pieters, TAU

1:10 PM – METRICS introduction; Guillaume Avrin, LNE

1:30 PM – ADAPT Competition; Roel Pieters, TAU

1:50 PM – ADAPT Field campaign; Max Pfingsthorn, OFFIS

2:10 PM – ADAPT Cascade campaign; Farzam Ranjbaran, CEA

2:30 PM – Stakeholder discussion

3:00 PM - Closing

# **ADAPT Cascade Campaign**



- Main features (based on D6.1 ADAPT evaluation plan):
  Cascade evaluation campaigns were meant to be organized after the field evaluation campaigns, with the first cascade competition to serve as a dry run (mainly to test and validate the evaluation) tools)
  - In the current situation the first field campaign has been turned into a virtual one
  - Focus on the ability of the competitors to quickly solve new tasks in a low data regime. Only small sets of sample training data will be made available for each new assembly
  - Evaluated robots annotate a detected objects with bounding box, to be compared to the ground truth
  - Evaluation is to be done offline based on collected datasets: while ensuring reproducibility and fairness
- Main goal:
  - To evaluate the competing systems' performance based on 3 main functional benchmarks:
    - $\succ$  FBM1: Object detection and classification (typical industrial components)
    - FBM2: Object pose estimation
    - > FBM3: Quality control for representative assembly operations

#### • Two important Questions to be answered very soon:

- Hosting of the competition, e.g., on Codalab (similar to the 1st ACRE Cascade Competition)
- Nature/content of datasets (annotation, types/description of example images, etc.)

## **Parts and assemblies**









## **FBM1: Detection and Classification of Parts**

• Task: Given a set of test images, predict the location and the class of each part

#### Typical example of Metrics (not yet finalised):

• mean Average Precision (mAP) which is the average precision averaged over all part classes

$$AP = \int p(r) dr$$
 where p(r) is the PR-curve

 Intersection over Union (IoU): True or false detection is detemined based on overlap between ground truth and predicted bounding boxes

$$IoU(A,B) = \frac{area(A \cap B)}{area(A \cup B)} > \tau$$





### **FBM1: Detection and Classification of Parts**

- □ Input: Set of objects/classes to be detected: instance names, description, CAD models, Images RGB(-D)
- **Output**:{class\_id, confidence, x, y, w, h};

maximum time allowed per trial is <u>one minute</u> with <u>ten trials</u> per team

- **Data Collection:** The online benchmarking data to be logged:
  - 1. Video stream of sensor data, at the rate of acquisition/processing (e.g. RGB: 30 fps at 720p, D: 15 fps at 48
  - 2. Detected parts in the scene (2D location + bounding box, instance estimates), at the rate of processing
- Performance evaluation, in order of importance:
  - 1. Number/percentage of correct part detections
  - 2. Number/percentage of correct part classifications
  - 3. Precision/recall, F-measure of detection and classification
  - 4. Detection/classification time
  - 5. Classification confidence

## **FBM2: Pose Estimation**



Task: Given a set of images (of stationary parts), predict the 6D pose of the detected parts

 Parts may have complex shape, symmetries, (non-)uniform structure and different surface finish

#### Typical Metrics under consideration (not yet finalised):

Average Distance (ADD); Average closest point distance (ADD-S); Trans. and Rot. Errors ( $e_{TE}$  and  $R_{TE}$ ) Given the ground truth rotation matrix **R** and translation vector **t** and estimated rotation  $\tilde{R}$  and translation  $\tilde{t}$ ,

#### **Ambiguity-Invariant**

**Rotation and translation errors** 

$$ADD = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{x \in M} \left\| (\mathbf{R}x + \mathbf{t}) - (\mathbf{\tilde{R}}x + \mathbf{\tilde{t}}) \right\|_2$$

$$ADD_{S} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{x_{1} \in M} \min_{x_{2} \in M} \left\| (\mathbf{R}x_{1} + \mathbf{t}) - (\widetilde{\mathbf{R}}x_{2} + \widetilde{\mathbf{t}}) \right\|_{2}$$

 $e_{TE}(\boldsymbol{t}, \tilde{\boldsymbol{t}}) = \|\boldsymbol{t} - \tilde{\boldsymbol{t}}\|_{2}$   $\operatorname{Tr} (\mathbf{p} \ \tilde{\boldsymbol{p}}^{T})$ 

$$e_{RE}(\boldsymbol{R}, \widetilde{\boldsymbol{R}}) = \cos^{-1} \frac{\operatorname{Tr}(\boldsymbol{R} \ \widetilde{\boldsymbol{R}}^{T}) - 1}{2}$$

## **FBM2: Pose Estimation**

□ Input:



- List of objects present: instance names, description, Images (RGB-D), CAD models,
- Reference system with respect to the surface on which objects are placed
- **Output:** 
  - 3x3 Rotation matrix + 3x1 Position vector for each instance of objects present in the scene maximum time allowed per trial is one minute with ten trials per team
- **Data Collection:** The online benchmarking data to be logged:
  - 1. Video stream of sensor data, at the rate of acquisition/processing (e.g. RGB: 30 fps at 720p, D: 18
  - 2. Estimated part pose in the scene with respect to table (6D Pose, instance estimates), at the rate of
- Performance evaluation, in order of importance:
  - 1. Pose error for correctly classified objects with respect to the ground truths
  - 2. Pose estimation time

# **FBM3: Quality Control of Final Assembly**



Task: Given the image of an assembly of parts, assess the correctness and completeness of the assembly

- Performance evaluation, in order of importance:
  - 1. Number/percentage of correct assembly, correctness estimate
  - 2. Number/percentage of correct assembly, level of completion
  - 3. Precision/recall, F-measure of assembly correctness and level of completion
  - 4. Quality control time
- **Data Collection:** The online benchmarking data to be logged:

1. Video stream of sensor data, at the rate of acquisition/processing (e.g. RGB: 30 fps at 720p, D: 15 fps at 480)

2. Estimated part pose in the scene with respect to table (6D Pose, instance estimates), at the rate of processing

**Inputs:** The teams will be provided with:

- Set of objects/parts that are potentially included in the assembly (description, CAD models, images)
- Set of object assemblies which undergo the quality control (description, CAD models, images, annotated assembly quality)
- Quality control metrics: SUCCESS, FAULTY, INCOMPLETE. A level of completion is to be estimated as a percentage or as a ratio.

maximum time allowed per trial is one minute with ten trials per team

## Schedule (tentative)

- 26/02 Formal launch
- 15/03 Challenge guidelines publications
- 01/04 Training and Validation datasets publications
- 17/05 Test data publications and opening of results submission
- 14/06 End of results submission
- 30/06 Challenge results publication

# THANK YOU

www.metricsproject.eu | agile.production@metricsproject.eu

